

Argumentation/Opinion Text-Based Writing Rubric Grade 2

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1	
Research	The writing — makes effective use of available resources skillfully/effectively supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from provided resources with accuracy	The writing — makes adequate use of available resources supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from provided resources with accuracy	The writing — makes limited use of available resources inconsistently supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from provided resources with accuracy	The writing — makes inadequate use of available resources fails to support an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from provided resources with accuracy	
Development 3 × =	The writing — addresses the writing task with a focused response states an opinion effectively provides reasons to support the opinion that are sufficient and relevant	The writing — addresses the writing task states an opinion provides reasons to support the opinion that are sufficient and relevant	The writing — addresses the writing task states an opinion inconsistently provides reasons to support the opinion that are sufficient and relevant	The writing — attempts to address the writing task states an opinion provides reasons to support the opinion that are insufficient and/or irrelevant	
Organization	The writing — • effectively introduces the topic or book being written about • has evidence of purposeful organization that supports the writing task • effectively uses linking words to connect opinions and reasons • provides an effective concluding statement or section	The writing — introduces the topic or book being written about has evidence of purposeful organization uses linking words to connect opinions and reasons provides a concluding statement or section	The writing — attempts to introduce the topic or book being written about has limited evidence of purposeful organization (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive) may use linking words provides a sense of closure	The writing – identifies the topic or book being written about has little or no evidence of purposeful organization	
Language/ Conventions	The writing — demonstrates a well-developed command of standard English conventions has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure	The writing — demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure	The writing — demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety	The writing — demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety	

Persuasive Essay Rubric: Common Core for Reading and Writing Standards

Based on Common Core Standards for Reading/Writing in History/Social Sciences (www.corestandards.org)

Note: Students must MEET or EXCEED standard on the asterisked indicators in order to meet standard on the essay

	Exceeds Standard (A)	Meets Standard (B)	Almost to Standard	Below lard=no R/W
* Thesis/Claim	Thesis/Claim is precise, knowledgeable, significant, and distinguished from alternate or opposing claims	Thesis/Claim is precise and knowledgeable, and answers the prompt (W1)	Thesis/Claim may be unclear or irrelevant, and/or may not answer prompt	Thesis/Claim is missing
* Use of Evidence	Develops the topic thoroughly by selecting the most significant and relevant facts, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) Skillfully integrates information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas and advance the thesis Skillfully assesses the strengths and limitations of each source	Develops the topic by selecting significant and relevant facts, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) (W2) Integrates information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas and advance the thesis(W8) Assesses the strengths and limitations of each source (W8)	Attempts to develop the topic using facts and other information, but evidence is inaccurate, irrelevant, and/or insufficient Attempts to integrate information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas and advance the thesis, but information is insufficient or irrelevant Attempts to assess the strengths and limitations of each source, but misinterprets information	Does not develop the topic by selecting information and examples from the text(s) Does not integrate information from the text Does not assess the strengths and limitations of each source
*Use of Analysis	Skillfully draws evidence from informational texts to support analysis and thesis/claim Skillfully delineates and evaluates the argument and specific claims in cited texts, assessing whether	Draws evidence from informational texts to support analysis and thesis/claim (W9) Delineates and evaluates the argument and specific claims in cited texts, assessing whether the reasoning is valid and the evidence is relevant and sufficient (R8) Identifies false statements and fallacious	Attempts to draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis and thesis/claim but evidence is insufficient and/or irrelevant Attempts to delineate and evaluate the argument and specific claims in cited texts, assessing whether the reasoning is valid and the evidence is relevant and	Does not use evidence from the informational texts to support analysis and/or thesis/claim Does not delineate or

	the reasoning is valid and the evidence is relevant and sufficient Skillfully identifies false statements and fallacious reasoning	reasoning.(R8)	sufficient, but analysis is insufficient Attempts to identify false statements and fallacious reasoning, but argument is incomplete or insufficient	evaluate claims in text Does not identify false claims or fallacious reasoning
Organization, Writing Style and Conventions	Organization skillfully sequences the claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence. Provides a concluding statement or section that skillfully follows from or supports the argument presented Skillfully produces clear, coherent, sophisticated writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience	Organization logically sequences the claim(s), counterclaims , reasons, and evidence.(W1) Provides a concluding statement or section that follows from or supports the argument presented (W1) Produces clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience (W4)	Attempts to create a logical organization, but may be missing some elements of the assignment, such as a counterclaim Attempts to provide a concluding statement or section that follows from or supports the argument presented, but statement does not support thesis Attempts to produce clear and coherent writing, but errors in conventions and writing style detract from understanding	Does not provide logical organization Does not provide a concluding statement or section that follows from or supports the argument presented Does not produce clear and coherent writing

Score for this draft:	
-----------------------	--

Teacher notes and additional comments:



Argumentation/Opinion Text-Based Writing Rubric Grades 9–10

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Reading/Research	The writing — makes effective use of available resources skillfully/effectively supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing — makes adequate use of available resources supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing — makes limited use of available resources inconsistently supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy inconsistently uses credible sources*	The writing — makes inadequate use of available resources fails to support an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy attempts to use credible sources*
Development 3 × =	The writing — addresses all aspects of the writing task with a tightly focused response skillfully develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — addresses the writing task with a focused response develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — addresses the writing task with an inconsistent focus inconsistently develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — attempts to address the writing task but lacks focus attempts to establish a claim or proposal supports claim(s) using evidence that is insufficient and/or irrelevant
Organization 2 × =	The writing — • effectively introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims • effectively creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence • skillfully uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims • provides an effective concluding statement or section that follows from and skillfully supports the argument presented	The writing — introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented	The writing — introduces the claim(s); however, may fail to distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) has a progression of ideas that may lack cohesion (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive) inconsistently uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a sense of closure	The writing — identifies the claim(s) has little or no evidence of purposeful organization



	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Language/Conventions	The writing — demonstrates an exemplary command of standard English conventions skillfully employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding inconsistently employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with several errors*	The writing — demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding employs language and tone that are inappropriate to audience and purpose has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with significant errors*

^{*} If applicable

Personal Narrative Rubric

Student Name:	_ Date:
---------------	---------

6 WOW	5 Strong!	4 Good!
Catchy Title	Appropriate Title	Title
Told a seed story	Told a seed story	Told a seed story
Entertaining beginning	Entertaining beginning	Interesting beginning
Storytelling of strong details,	Storytelling of strong details	Storytelling of adequate details
especially at heart of the story	Story flows well due to transitions	Story flows due to transitions
A lot of rich language, vivid	some rich language, vivid vocabulary,	some variety in language, vivid
vocabulary, and similes	and similes	vocabulary or similes
Effective use of dialogue	Use of dialogue	Use of dialogue
Satisfying conclusion	conclusion bring story to appropriate	conclusion bring story to an end
Excellent command of conventions	end	some use of conventions
Story flows well due to transitions	Adequate use of conventions	2 pages
3 or more pages	2-3 pages	
3 A few things to work on!	2 You're on your way!	1 Let's discuss this!
T:41-		
Title	Simple or missing title	Simple or missing title
Told a watermelon story	Simple or missing title Told a watermelon story	Simple or missing title told a watermelon story
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph		
Told a watermelon story	Told a watermelon story	told a watermelon story
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph	Told a watermelon story Summarized many details	told a watermelon story Summary, missing detail
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph Summarized many details	Told a watermelon story Summarized many details Events not all in logical order	told a watermelon story Summary, missing detail Lacks organization, events out of
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph Summarized many details Has some transitions, but story	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flow.	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of order
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph Summarized many details Has some transitions, but story doesn't flow well	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flowReader has many questions	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph Summarized many details Has some transitions, but story doesn't flow well Little variety in language, vivid	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flowReader has many questionslist-like, without details, dull	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of
Told a watermelon story Has a beginning paragraph Summarized many details Has some transitions, but story doesn't flow well Little variety in language, vivid vocabulary or similes	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flowReader has many questionslist-like, without details, dullno dialogue	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of
Told a watermelon storyHas a beginning paragraphSummarized many detailsHas some transitions, but story doesn't flow wellLittle variety in language, vivid vocabulary or simileslittle or incorrect use of dialogue	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flow Reader has many questionslist-like, without details, dull no dialogue no real ending	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of
Told a watermelon storyHas a beginning paragraphSummarized many detailsHas some transitions, but story doesn't flow wellLittle variety in language, vivid vocabulary or simileslittle or incorrect use of dialogueabrupt ending	Told a watermelon storySummarized many detailsEvents not all in logical orderStory does not flowReader has many questionslist-like, without details, dullno dialogueno real endingpoor use of conventions	told a watermelon storySummary, missing detailLacks organization, events out of

FIRST DRAFT Common Core Argument Writing Rubric Grade 8 (6-2-10) (For on-demand writing.)

CC Anchor Writing Standard 3 Generally Meets the Standard 1. Write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response	ument few or or no ices as and ms from
1. Write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response and relevant evidence. a. The response and relevant evidence. a. The response and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and includence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and includence. b. The response is an argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and argument that supports claims with partially clear reasons and includence. b. The	e few or or no ices
to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response organizes the reasons estable organizes the reasons and evidence logically. that supports claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response or texts, using valid relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response or texts, using valid relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes claims with generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response or texts, using valid relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence. c. The response organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The res	e few or or no ices
an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence logically. claims with clear reasons and relevant evidence. generally clear reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response or texts, using valid reasons and relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response or texts, using valid relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s), organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response or generally supports claim(s) with ordinates and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response or introduces claim(s) organizes the reasons and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence same distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence same distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence same distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The resp	or no ices s and ms from
substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response introduces claim(s), acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response introduces claim(s) with relevant evidence. a. The response introduces claim(s), and somewhat relevant evidence. a. The response introduces claim(s), somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with relevant evidence. a. The response introduces claim(s), somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with	s and
reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence. a. The response introduces claim(s), acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response a. The response introduces claim(s), generally acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. a. The response introduces claim(s), generally acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence. organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence. organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence supports claim(s) with	s and ns from
relevant and sufficient evidence. • introduces claim(s), acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence logically. • introduces claim(s), and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • introduces claim(s), somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • introduces claim(s) somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • introduces claim(s) somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • introduces claim(s) somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • introduces claim(s) somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • introduces claim(s), builtinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • introduces claim(s) somewhat acceptably, partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • introduces claim(s) introduces claim(s), value of logically.	s and ns from
sufficient evidence. • acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence logically. • acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • generally acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • generally acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • generally acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence in a generally logical manner. • The response energible of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • The response energible of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically. • Description of the partially acknowledges and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and evidence somewhat logically organizes the reasons and evidence.	s and ns from
distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with and distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with	ns from
from alternate or opposing claims, and claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with from alternate or opposing claims, and claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with distinguishes the claims from alternate or opposing claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat supports claim(s) with	ns from
claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence logically. b. The response organizes claim(s) with claims, and claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logically. claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence. claims, and organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically.	
 organizes the reasons and evidence logically. organizes the reasons and evidence in a generally logical manner. claims, and evidence somewhat logically. organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence somewhat logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with supports claim(s) with 	claims,
evidence logically. evidence in a generally logical manner. b. The response supports claim(s) with evidence in a generally evidence in a generally logically. organizes the reasons and evidence. logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with organizes the reasons and evidence. b. The response supports claim(s) with supports claim(s) with	
b. The response supports claim(s) with logical manner. evidence somewhat logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with logically. b. The response supports claim(s) with supports claim(s) with supports claim(s) with	
b. The response b. The response b. The response supports claim(s) with generally supports claim(s) supports claim(s) with logically. b. The response b. The response supports claim(s) with supports claim(s) with	e
b. The response	
• supports claim(s) with • generally supports claim(s) • supports claim(s) with • supports claim(s) with	
• supports claim(s) with • generally supports claim(s) • supports claim(s) with • supports claim(s) with	
	little
logical reasoning and with logical reasoning and somewhat logical reasoning or no logical reasoning	
relevant evidence, relevant evidence, and some relevant relevant evidence,	una
 uses accurate, credible uses primarily accurate, evidence, using uses few if any source 	and
sources, and credible sources, and uses a few accurate, demonstrates minimal	,
• demonstrates an • demonstrates an credible sources, and understanding of the tree controls and the sources are credible sources.	ppic or
understanding of the topic understanding of the topic or demonstrates a partial text. (development)	P
or text. (development) text. (development) understanding of the topic	
or text. (development)	
c. The response uses words, c. The response generally uses c. The response uses words, c. The response ineffective	
phrases, and clauses to create words, phrases, and clauses phrases, and clauses partially words, phrases, and clauses	
cohesion and clarify the effectively to create cohesion effectively to provide some create minimal cohesion.	- 1
relationships among claim(s), and clarify the relationships cohesion and limited relationships among claim	
counterclaims, reasons, and among claim(s), reasons, and clarification of the relationships counterclaims, reasons, and	1
evidence. (transitions) evidence. (transitions) among claim(s), counterclaims, evidence are unclear. (transitions)	sitions)
reasons, and evidence. (transitions)	
(transitions)	
d. The response establishes and d. The response generally d. The response inconsistently d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes are destablished as the destablishes and d. The response does not expense the destablishes are destablished as the destablishes are destablished as the destablishes are destablished as the dest	
maintains a formal style . establishes and maintains a establishes and maintains a and/or maintain a formal style.	stablish
formal style.	

	e. The response provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.	e. The response provides a generally effective concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.	e. The response provides a partially effective concluding statement or section that inconsistently follows from and supports the argument presented.	e. The response provides an ineffective or no concluding statement or section.
4. Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.	4. The response provides clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.	4. The response, for the most part, successfully provides clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.	4. The response provides partially clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are somewhat appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.	4. The response provides unclear writing that lacks coherence and in which the development, organization, and style are inappropriate to task, purpose, and audience.

(It is expected that students follow the conventions of Standard English. See CC Language Standards 1-2 or Convention Continuum.) (8th A page 2) MACOMB ISD 2-23-11

Condition codes that will result in a score of 0 for each trait:

- 6. Off-topic7. Illegible or written in a language other than English
- 8. Blank
- 9. Insufficient to rate